UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Mi	guel Agustin Corrales-Navarro	Case Number: <u>11-02933M-001</u>
present and v	te with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 was represented by counsel. I conclude by a particular that the defendant pending trial in this case.	e2(f), a detention hearing was held on March 17, 2011. Defendant was preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a pre	FIN eponderance of the evidence that:	DINGS OF FACT
	•	ed States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
		f offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.
The C at the time of	Court incorporates by reference the material fi the hearing in this matter, except as noted in	indings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Courn the record.
	CONC	LUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant	will flee.
2.	No condition or combination of conditions	will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
	DIRECTIONS	REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections f appeal. The o of the United S	facility separate, to the extent practicable, fror defendant shall be afforded a reasonable oppo States or on request of an attorney for the Go	Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending ortunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a counvernment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPEALS AN	D THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this deternor of the motion for review/reconsideration to P	ention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to retrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services suffi	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a the iciently in advance of the hearing before the e potential third party custodian.	nird party is to be <u>considered</u> , it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE: _ Mar	rch 17, 2011	
-		JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge